Tetragonal $P\overline{42}_{1c}$ a = 15.747 (1) Å c = 13.148 (1) Å $V = 3260.3 (4) Å^{3}$ Z = 2 $D_{x} = 1.268 Mg m^{-3}$	Cell parameters from 35 reflections $\theta = 24.7-25.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.492 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 (2) K Prism $0.80 \times 0.70 \times 0.46 \text{ mm}$
D_m not measured	Colorless

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 32.50^{\circ}$ $h = -23 \rightarrow 23$ $k = -23 \rightarrow 23$

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 19$

4 standard reflections

every 96 reflections

intensity decay: 2%

Data collection

Siemens diffractometer ω scan Absorption correction: none 12 559 measured reflections 3237 independent reflections 2777 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.046$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Extinction correction:
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$	SHELXL93 (Sheldrick,
$wR(F^2) = 0.089$	1993)
S = 1.06	Extinction coefficient:
3237 reflections	0.0125 (10)
181 parameters	Scattering factors from
H atoms not refined	International Tables for
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0448P)^2]$	Crystallography (Vol. C)
+ 0.5476 <i>P</i>]	Absolute structure: Flack
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	(1983)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.04$	Flack parameter = $0.00(3)$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	-
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.27 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Mn	0	0	0	0.02046 (12)
C12	-0.11804 (3)	-0.05617 (4)	0.08839 (5)	0.03157 (13)
Cl	0.34898 (15)	-0.09177 (14)	-0.2155 (2)	0.0273 (4)
C2	0.3723 (2)	-0.17418 (15)	-0.1616(2)	0.0353 (5)
C3	0.3048 (2)	-0.1993 (2)	-0.0846 (2)	0.0415 (6)
C4	0.2916 (2)	-0.1269 (2)	-0.0101 (2)	0.0366 (5)
C5	0.26981 (14)	-0.04437 (15)	-0.0645 (2)	0.0293 (5)
C6	0.32578 (12)	0.06796 (13)	-0.19102 (14)	0.0193 (3)
C7	0.31490 (13)	0.13391 (13)	-0.10540 (15)	0.0233 (4)
C8	0.31498 (15)	0.22439 (14)	-0.1483 (2)	0.0290 (4)
C9	0.3960 (2)	0.24432 (15)	-0.2057 (2)	0.0314 (5)
C10	0.41190 (15)	0.17850 (14)	-0.2887 (2)	0.0266 (4)
C11	0.40945 (13)	0.08783 (14)	-0.2461 (2)	0.0221 (3)
C12	0.24903 (13)	0.06421 (13)	-0.26199 (14)	0.0220 (4)
C13	0.16673 (13)	0.07913 (15)	-0.2259 (2)	0.0271 (4)
C14	0.0971 (2)	0.0767 (2)	-0.2902 (2)	0.0342 (5)
C15	0.1082 (2)	0.0584 (2)	-0.3923 (2)	0.0383 (6)
C16	0.1888 (2)	0.0417 (2)	-0.4294 (2)	0.0384 (6)
C17	0.25884 (15)	0.0451 (2)	-0.3658 (2)	0.0294 (4)
Cll	0	-1/2	-0.00298 (5)	0.02837 (14)
N	0.33815 (10)	-0.02079 (10)	-0.13987 (13)	0.0213 (3)

The labels of the x and y axes were chosen to minimize the absolute Flack parameter (Sheldrick *et al.*, 1985).

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93. Molecular graphics: SHELXL93. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

The authors thank Dr Raymond E. Davis for helpful comments. Funding for this work was provided by the Robert A. Welch Foundation, Grant No. F-0017.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BK1313). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Harlow, R. L., Wells, W. J. III, Watt, G. W. & Simonsen, S. H. (1974). Inorg. Chem. 13, 2106–2111.
- Harlow, R. L., Wells, W. J. III, Watt, G. W. & Simonsen, S. H. (1975). Inorg. Chem. 14, 1768–1773.
- Nelson, H. C. & Simonsen, S. H. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, C168.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M., Krüger, C. & Goddard, R. (1985). Editors. Crystallographic Computing 3. Oxford University Press.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS. X-ray Single Crystal Analysis System. Version 2.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 476-479

Diiodotetrakis[tris(dimethylamino)phosphine oxide-O]bismuth(III) Pentaiodide, [BiI₂{OP(NMe₂)₃}₄][I₅]

Louis J. Farrugia,^a Nicholas C. Norman^b and Nigel L. Pickett^c

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland, ^bSchool of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TS, England, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, The University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, England. E-mail: louis@chem.gla.ac.uk

(Received 14 April 1997; accepted 5 November 1997)

Abstract

The title compound, $[BiI_2(C_6H_{18}N_3OP)_4](I_5)$, contains the pseudo-octahedral Bi^{III} cation $[BiI_2\{OP(NMe_2)_3\}_4]^+$, which has crystallographic $\bar{4}$ symmetry, and *trans* iodide ligands. The central I atom of the disordered $[I_5]^$ anion is also situated on a site of $\bar{4}$ symmetry and the atoms of this anion form an infinite three-dimensional network, resulting in a 'cage'-type structure. The cations are situated within the 'cage' cavities.

Comment

The title compound, (I), was prepared as a minor product from the reaction of BiI_3 with $OP(NMe_2)_3$ (hmpa), as described previously (Clegg et al., 1993). The diffraction data for this compound were collected in 1992, but the structure could not be solved at that time. Micro-analytical data suggested the formulation $[BiI_2{OP(NMe_2)_3}_4]^+$. $[I_5]^-$. The BiL_{III} EXAFS data (Clegg et al., 1993) indicated that the proposed cation had a similar geometry to that observed in a singlecrystal X-ray diffraction study of the closely related compound $[BiI_2{OP(NMe_2)_3}_4]^+$. $[I_3]^-$, (II). The refined $Bi \cdots I [3.075(8) Å], Bi \cdots O [2.277(4) Å] and <math>Bi \cdots N$ [4.27 (3) and 4.75 (2) Å] distances obtained from the EXAFS data for (I) were quite comparable with those from the single-crystal study of (II). However, the EXAFS model afforded two Bi ... P separations of 3.378 (8) and 3.818 (8) Å, with the former distance being rather shorter than those observed in (II) (3.72-3.81 Å). This result appears to be inconsistent with the proposed structure of the cation in (I), though no comment to this effect was made at the time.



The $[BiI_2{OP(NMe_2)_3}_4]^+$ cation in (I) has crystallographically-imposed $\overline{4}$ (S₄) symmetry, and the interbond angles about the central Bi atom are very close to 90°. The cation, therefore, displays no evidence of stereochemical activity of the lone pair. Table 2 shows that the Bi-I and Bi-O distances found in the present study are very similar to those determined both from the EXAFS data for (I) and from the single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of $[BiI_2{OP(NMe_2)_3}_4]^+$. $[I_3]^-$ (Clegg et al., 1993). The latter cation does not have crystallographically-imposed symmetry and the interbond angles about the Bi atom range from 88.0(2)to 93.1 $(2)^{\circ}$. The Bi \cdots P distance in (I) is 3.752 (3) Å, which is consistent with the longer such distance found from the EXAFS study, but the origin of the shorter $Bi \cdots P$ separation obtained from the EXAFS study is presently unclear. The Bi · · · N distances, ranging from 4.40(1) to 4.78(1) Å, with an average value of 4.57 Å, are also very similar to those derived from the EXAFS data.

The central I atom (I2) of the $[I_5]^-$ anion (Fig. 1b) is situated at the site of $\overline{4}$ symmetry at the origin. The discrete anions have C_2 symmetry and two independent and unequal I—I distances. This bent geometry is found in the vast majority of cases (*e.g.* Tebbe & Gilles, 1996; Bailey & Pennington, 1995; Hills *et al.*, 1991), while a

linear geometry is more rarely observed (*e.g.* Dong *et al.*, 1991). The anion is statistically disordered over two sites, with the central I atom in common, resulting in the crystallographic $\overline{4}$ symmetry. There are two independent I21—I2—I21 angles, of 80.09 (11) and 125.88 (7)°, and it is not possible to unequivocally determine which angle belongs to the discrete [I₅]⁻ anion. Since the majority of examples of discrete ordered [I₅]⁻ anions have central I—II—I angles of around 90°, we tentatively suggest that the corresponding angle in the title compound



Fig. 1. View of (a) the $[BiI_2{OP(NMe_2)_3}]^+$ cation (30% probability displacement ellipsoids) and (b) the disordered $[I_5]^-$ anion (50% probability displacement ellipsoids). Atoms I21 and I22 have occupancies of 0.5. Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, z; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$; (iii) -x, -y, z; (iv) -y, x, -z; (v) $\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$; (vi) y, -x, -z.

is 80.09 (11)°. Atoms I21 and I22 are overlapped by the corresponding atoms from neighbouring anions (sée Table 2 and Fig. 2), and this is presumably the major reason for their large displacement parameters. Because of this statistical disorder, the anion effectively forms a three-dimensional infinite network when the average contents of the unit cell are considered, resulting in an open 'cage' structure with large cavities, which are occupied by the cations. A similar case of a metal complex encapsulated inside a three-dimensional iodine 'cage' has been reported recently (Blake et al., 1995).



Fig. 2. View of the unit-cell contents of (I) showing the threedimensional 'cage' structure of the disordered [I5] anion encapsulating the cations.

Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared as a minor product from the reaction of BiI₃ with OP(NMe₂)₃ (hmpa) (Clegg et al., 1993).

Crystal data

$[BiI_2(C_6H_{18}N_3OP)_4](I_5)$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 1814.1$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Tetragonal	Cell parameters from 25
I42d	reflections
a = 13.775(6) Å	$\theta = 8.2 - 15.1^{\circ}$
c = 30.533(5)Å	$\mu = 6.92 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 5793 (3) Å^3$	T = 291 (2) K
Z = 4	Prism
$D_x = 2.08 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$ mm
D_m not measured	Black

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	950 reflections with
diffractometer	$I > 2\sigma(I)$
Non-profiled $\omega/2\theta$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.034$
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$
ψ scans [local program	$h = 0 \rightarrow 16$
based on the method of	$k = 0 \rightarrow 16$
North et al. (1968)]	$l = 0 \rightarrow 36$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.109, T_{\rm max} = 0.304$	3 standard reflections
2751 measured reflections	frequency: 120 min
1422 independent reflections	intensity decay: 1%

Refinement

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.78 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -1.10 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$ $wR(F^2) = 0.077$ (1.08 Å from Bi1) S = 1.001Extinction correction: none 1422 reflections Scattering factors from 133 parameters International Tables for H atoms not refined Crystallography (Vol. C) $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0374P)^2]$ Absolute structure: Flack where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ (1983) $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.005$ Flack parameter = -0.029(11)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

$U_{\rm cq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	2	U_{cq}
Bil	1/2	0	1/4	0.0347(2)
11	1/2	0	0.14958 (3)	0.0686(3)
P1	0.2616 (2)	-0.1267 (2)	0.23372 (10)	0.0491 (7)
01	0.3509 (5)	-0.0777 (5)	0.2499 (3)	0.056(2)
N11	0.1810(7)	-0.1270 (9)	0.2723 (3)	0.065 (3)
N12	0.2155 (8)	-0.0717 (7)	0.1928 (3)	0.067 (3)
N13	0.2837 (8)	-0.2362 (8)	0.2184 (4)	0.066(3)
C111	0.2133 (12)	-0.1445 (14)	0.3173 (4)	0.092 (5)
C112	0.0786 (10)	-0.1316 (17)	0.2641 (7)	0.130(7)
C121	0.2042 (16)	0.0365 (11)	0.1939 (6)	0.131 (9)
C122	0.1865 (17)	-0.1087 (14)	0.1520 (6)	0.147 (8)
C131	0.3709(11)	-0.2584 (11)	0.1922 (5)	0.084 (5)
C132	0.2223 (14)	-0.3170 (11)	0.2269 (6)	0.122 (7)
12	0	0	0	0.1741 (13)
121†	-0.1435 (3)	0.0395 (2)	-0.07989 (12)	0.144 (2)
122†	-0.2786 (4)	0.0613 (3)	-0.14712 (13)	0.161 (2)

 \dagger Site occupancy = 0.5.

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Bil—Ol	2.316 (7)	N11—C111	1.47 (2)
Bi1—I1	3.0661 (9)	N12—C122	1.41 (2)
P1-01	1.488 (7)	N12—C121	1.50(2)
P1—N12	1.593 (10)	N13—C132	1.42(2)
P1-N13	1.609 (11)	N13—C131	1.47 (2)
P1-N11	1.618 (10)	12-121	3.186(5)
N11-C112	1.43 (2)	121122	2.787 (8)
Ol'—Bil—Ol	179.9 (4)	N12—P1—N11	107.3 (6)
O1—Bi1—O1"	90.00(2)	N13—P1—N11	109.8 (6)
01—Bi1—I1	89.9 (2)	P1—O1—Bi1	160.6 (5)
O1-P1-N12	112.0 (5)	I21—I2—I21 ⁱⁱⁱ	80.09 (11)
O1-P1-N13	111.4 (5)	121—I2—I21 ¹	125.88(7)
N12-P1-N13	107.0 (6)	122-121-12	175.2 (2)
01-P1-N11	109.1 (5)		

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, z; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - y, x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (iii) -x, -y, z; (iv) - y, x, -z.

The *E* statistics were generally indicative of a centrosymmetric space group, but the systematic absences were only consistent with the non-centrosymmetric space groups $I\bar{4}2d$ and $I4_1md$. From the Patterson function, it seemed highly probable that most or all of the heavy atoms resided on special positions. and a consideration of the possible geometry of the complex led to the likelihood of the former space group. However, all initial attempts to solve the structure led to unsatisfactory refinements, with some of the light-atom positions being undetectable in difference Fourier maps. The structure was finally solved using the DIRDIF96 package (Beurskens et al., 1996). The rather diffuse, but quite substantial, electron density from the disordered pentaiodide anion and the presence of the heavy atoms on special positions were undoubtedly the major reasons for the original failure to solve the structure completely. Indeed, only when the disordered pentaiodide was satisfactorily modelled was it possible to detect all of the light-atom positions of the hmpa ligand from difference Fourier maps. The final refinement of the structure proceeded smoothly, with the largest correlation matrix element (0.66) relating the displacement-parameter elements of atom I21. Calculations using PLATON (Spek, 1990) indicated that there were no further voids in the structure capable of containing solvent molecules.

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf-Nonius, 1992). Cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS*. Data reduction: *XCAD-4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1996). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *ORTEP3* for Windows (Farrugia, 1997) and *CAMERON* (Watkin *et al.*, 1993).

The EPSRC is thanked for financial support towards the purchase of a diffractometer.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1163). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Bailey, R. D. & Pennington, W. T. (1995). Acta Cryst. B51, 810-815. Beurskens, P. T., Beurskens, G., Bosman, W. P., de Gelder, R., García-Granda, S., Gould, R. O., Israel, R. & Smits, J. M. M. (1996). The DIRDIF96 Program System. Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Blake, A. J., Gould, R. O., Parsons, S., Radek, C. & Schröder, M. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 2374–2376.
- Clegg, W., Farrugia, L. J., McCamley, A., Norman, N. C., Orpen, A. G., Pickett, N. L. & Stratford, S. E. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2579–2587.
- Dong, T.-Y., Lin, H.-M., Hwang, M.-Y., Lee, T.-Y., Tseng, L.-H., Peng, S.-M. & Lee, G.-H. (1991). J. Organomet. Chem. 414, 227– 244.
- Enraf-Nonius (1992). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1996). XCAD-4. Program for Processing CAD-4 Diffractometer Data. University of Marburg, Germany.
- Hills, A., Hughes, D. L., Leigh, G. J. & Sanders, J. R. (1991). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 61-64.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.

© 1998 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.
- Tebbe, K.-F. & Gilles, T. (1996). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 622, 138-143. Watkin, D. M., Pearce, L. & Prout, C. K. (1993). CAMERON. Chem-
- ical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England.

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 479-481

fac-Triazido(2,2'-bipyridine-*N*,*N*')(pyridine-*N*)cobalt(III)

ZHONG-NING CHEN,^a ALVIN SIU,^b CHENG-YONG SU,^a IAN WILLIAMS^b AND BEI-SHENG KANG^a

^aInstitute of Physical Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275, Guangdong, China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Hong Kong. E-mail: ceslhq@zsulink.zsu.edu.cn

(Received 29 July 1997; accepted 11 November 1997)

Abstract

The title complex, $[Co(N_3)_3(C_{10}H_8N_2)(C_5H_5N)]$, adopts *fac*-octahedral coordination geometry. All the azido ligands are terminally coordinated with an average Co-N-N angle of 125°. The pyridine ligand is least strongly coordinated to the Co^{III} atom and forms the longest Co-N bond [1.992 (2) Å]. The bipyridine rings lie in the equatorial plane and are nearly perpendicular to the pyridine ring.

Comment

The number of known first-row transition metal complexes containing azido ligands has markedly increased in the past few years; their number now includes lowdimensional complexes of copper (Thompson et al., 1995, and references therein), nickel (Escuer et al., 1995, and references therein) and manganese (Cortes et al., 1997). The main interest arises from the rich magnetic chemistry of these azido complexes, which depends on whether the coordination mode of the ligand is end-on (Charlot et al., 1986) or end-to-end (Escuer, Vincente, El Fallah et al., 1996). We have become interested in this type of complex recently (Chen, Qiu et al., 1994; Chen, Tang et al., 1994) and now report the crystal structure of a discrete mononuclear Co^{III} complex, (I), containing three azido ligands, with pyridine and bipyridine as co-ligands.